Propagation of Shocks due to Natural Disasters through Global Supply Chains by Yuzuka Kashiwagi, Yasuyuki Todo, and Petr Matous

Discussion by Sunghoon Chung

Korea Development Institute

2017 International Conference on Regional Integration and Economic Resilience

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Summary

(Q1) Can micro-level shocks in a limited region propagate globally?(Q2) If so, to whom, through what channel?

- Identification strategy
 - Exploit a natural disaster that creates exogeneous variation
 - Apply OLS estimation
- Main Findings
 - The negative shocks hampered the growth of customers of the damaged firms both in the US and Worldwide at equal magnitude.
 - Downstream propagation works, at least, upto the two-step customers.
 - Propagation patterns are heterogeneous depending on the characteristics of firms' networks (i.e., shareholding structure, research collaboration).

Overall Impression

- ► Hot topic!
- A good natural experiment setting
 - Barrot and Sauvagnat (2016): historical natural disasters in the US
 - ▶ Boehm et al. (2015), Cavalho et al. (2016): the 2011 great east Japan earthquake

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

▶ Not surprising given the literature, but reinforce the evidence with some extensions

Suggestions: More Information

- Need more description about the event of hurricane Sandy such as...
 - Which area in the US was particularly hit (the hardest)?
 - industry composition of the damaged region (compared to the one in other region)
- Importantly, readers would want to know about the direct effect.
 - ▶ How much regional economies or industries suffered from the disaster?
- Possible regional network
 - Given the locality of firm-to-firm connections, many customers of damaged firms could also have suffered directly.
 - What about customers of customers? where are they located?



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト ł

Observed Rainfall (sourced from New York Metro Weather Archive)



200

Comments on Model Specification

- OLS is fine.
- Capturing the direct effect.
 - ▶ Include dummy variable that indicates whether firm *i* was damaged directly.
- Should we care about the firm's position in the supply chain network?
 - Degree, PageRank, Burt's constraint, local clustering coefficient
 - ▶ Not clear how they affect the sales growth, but no sufficient explanation.
- What about some other conventional controls?
 - ▶ Investment in the previous few years, market concentration (e.g., HHI),...

Other (Minor) Comments

- Economic significance
 - How big is the indirect effect (compared to the direct effect)?
 - Contribution of the indirect effect to the US economy.
- Why only downstream propagation?
 - Would the shocks propagate to suppliers of damaged firms and their suppliers?
 - ▶ According to Acemoglu et al. (2016), no such upstream propagation.
- Measure of supply chain ties
 - the weighted number (or share) of suppliers with the weight being the sales share to firm i
- Inflation adjustment in the sales term (using GDP deflator...)
 - ▶ Matter for comparison of one-year growth & two-year growth.